

ACADEMIC AUDIT REPORT FOR ACADEMIC YEAR 2019-2020

EVEN AND ODD SEMESTER

FACULTY OF PHYSICAL & EARTH SCIENCES

Prepared By:

Dr. (Ms). Sameena Falleiro

Dean-Physical & Earth Sciences,

Parvatibai Chowgule College of Arts & Science, Autonomous, Margao, GOA

15TH FEBRUARY, 2021

INDEX PAGE

| Sr.No | CONTENTS | PAGE NOs |
|-------|---|----------|
| 1 | Executive Summary | 1 |
| 2 | APPENDIX-I (Odd Semester) Physics Chemistry Computer Science Geology | 4 |
| 3 | APPENDIX-II (Even Semester) Physics Chemistry Computer Science Geology | 30 |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION

The Academic Audit Report for the Academic Year2019-2020 for the Physical and Earth Science Departments (PES) is attached here in two parts. For the Odd semester and then the Even semester. Inspite of the COVID-19 pandemic during the second half of the academic year (even semester), the Faculty did a commendable job in syllabus completion, teaching-learning and assessment during the semester. There were some attempts made at online innovations in teaching, learning and evaluation too.

For the Odd Semester a total of fifty seven courses from Physics, Chemistry, Computer Science, Geology, M.Sc. Information Technology and Postgraduate in Computer application departments were audited.

For the Even Semester a total of fifty five courses from Physics, Chemistry, Computer Science, Geology, M.Sc. Information Technology and Postgraduate in Computer application departments were audited.

OBSERVATIONS AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

TEACHING -LEARNING

The Faculty have uploaded resources for the courses as per Form 5 Format (CLAAP Monitoring Form). Google Classroom was the Learning Management System (LMS) that was more widely used. The resources and content involved PowerPoint presentations, e-books and reference material. The variance in the lectures was not more than 5% overall. The teaching methods involved using of Traditional Lecture Methods via Blackboard, ICT enabled blended learning using presentations in Power Points, demonstrations in class and also during practical sessions. Some of the methods common across the PES faculty are listed below:

-Problem Solving

-Case Study

-Interactive Teaching

-Group Discussion

-Debate

-GMeet (during the COVID-19 pandemic)

There were no suggestions for Course Syllabus revision from any Faculty/Department.

EVALUATION OF COURSE

The dates of every assessment were announced at least 10 days before the conduct of the examination. The instructions/guidelines and rubrics were uploaded well in advance before the date of examination. All the examination was conducted as per the guidelines followed by the Examination cell. The continuous Assessments, Semester End Examination as well as the practical Assessments were conducted following the guidelines of the Examination cell of the college.

INNOVATION

Faculty from some departments used NPTEL courses and OCW as additional reading / learning resources to help students strengthen their foundation on different topics. In view of the pandemic COVID-19, some faculty conducted assessments/evaluations using Google Forms, Viva voce via GMeet and used Portfolio based assessment techniques. The teaching-learning was online using GMeet and communication/interactions were also done by forming class official groups on platforms/groups like WhatsApp. By doing this the faculty ensured that all material and official communication was being communicated to students on a regular basis and no one was felt left out in view of offline classes.

The Department of Mathematics and Department of Geography have not submitted the Academic Audit Report for the entire Academic Year 2019-2020 in spite of repeated reminders. The same has been brought to the notice of the Principal.

The Dean-PES wishes to place on record sincere thanks to College Authorities, Faculty, Students and Support Staff for their cooperation in this Audit Process.

Salleiro

Dr. (Ms). Sameena Falleiro Dean, Facutly of Physical & Earth Sciences

15th February 2021

Dean, Faculty of Physical & Earth Sciences Academic Audit Report 2019-20

Page 3

ParvatibaiChowgule College of Arts and Science (Autonomous) ACADEMIC AUDIT REPORT BY HEAD OF DEPARTMENT (2019-2020)

NAME OF THE PROGRAMME:_BSc(Semester I/III/V)_ SUBJECT:CHEMISTRY

REPORT OF COURSES AUDITED: Head, Department Of Chemistry

| SR | COURSE TITLE. | NAME OF THE | REPORT ON | REPORT ON | REPORT ON |
|------|----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| NO | COURSE CODE | FACILITY MEMBER | TEACHING J FARNING | EVALUATION OF | INNOVATION |
| 110. | SEMESTER | | | COURSE | |
| 1 | General Physical and | 1)Maniita Porob(Physical | | COURSE | |
| 1 | Inorganic Chemistry | Chom) | 1)Interactive learning and | 1)Sufficient time was given | |
| | | Chem) | now point presentations and | to the students before | |
| | CHE-1.C-1 | 2) Doon o Dolumbor (In organia | power point presentations are | to the students before | |
| | | 2)KoopaDelurkar(Inorganic Chom) | Traditional mathed | evaluation and results | |
| | | Chem) | 1 raditional method | Were declared on time | |
| | | | 2)Lecture powerpoint was | 2) Warking Scheme was | |
| | | | uploaded on google classroom | | |
| | | | 3)Lecture schedule was | 3)Feedback on assessment | |
| | | | uploaded on google classroom | was shared with the | |
| | | | at the beginning of semester | students | |
| | | | 4) Variance of lecture Nil | 4)Modes of assessment | |
| | | | 5) Course and unit rating is just | were written test and | |
| | | | right | Problem solving / | |
| | | | 6) Variance in number of | Assignments | |
| | | | practicals for all batches-Nil | 5) No weightage for higher | |
| | | | | order questions in CA 1 | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 2 | GeneralOrganic and | 1)PadminiRaikar(organic | 1)Interactive learning and | 1)Sufficient time was given | |
| | Inorganic Chemistry | Chem) | power point presentations are | to the students before | |
| | CHE-I.C-2 | | employed along with | evaluation and results | |
| | | 2)NavitaNaik(Inorganic | Traditional method | were declared on time | |
| | | Chem) | 2)Lecture powerpoint was | 2)Marking Scheme was | |
| | | | uploaded on google classroom | disclosed | |
| | | | 3)Lecture schedule was | 3)Feedback on assessment | |
| | | | uploaded on google classroom | was shared with the | |
| | | | at the beginning of semester | students | |
| | | | 4) Variance of lecture +02 | 4)Modes of assessment | |

| | | | 5) Course and unit rating is just right 6) Variance in number of practicals for all batches+02 | were written test and Assignment 5) No weightage for higher order questions in CA 1 | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| 3 | Comprehensive Chemistry –I CHE-III.C-5 | 1)Sachin Kakodkar(Physical Chem) 2)NavitaNaik(Inorganic Chem) | 1)Interactive learning and power point presentations are employed along with Traditional method 2)Lecture powerpoint was uploaded on google classroom 3)Lecture schedule was uploaded on google classroom at the beginning of semester 4)Lecture variance is Nil 5) Course and unit rating is just right 6)Variance in number of practical for batches Nil | 1)Sufficient time was given to the students before evaluation and results were declared on time 2)Marking Scheme was disclosed 3)Feedback on assessment was shared with the students 4)Modes of assessment were written test & Assignment 5)No questions in CA 1 were of higher order | |
| 4 | Name Reaction and Synthetic Methodologies CHE-III.E-1 | 1)PadminiRaikar 2)MayuriNaik | 1)Interactive learning and power point presentations are employed along with Traditional method 2)Lecture powerpoint was uploaded on google classroom 3)Lecture schedule was uploaded on google classroom at the beginning of semester 4)Lecture variance is +02 5) Course and unit rating is just right 6)Variance in number of | Sufficient time was given to the students before evaluation and results were declared on time Marking Scheme was disclosed Feedback on assessment was shared with the students Modes of assessment were Written test and assignment. | |

| | | | practical for all batches is Nil | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| | | | | | |
| 5 | Catalysis and Surface Chemistry CHE-III.E-3 | 1)Sachin Kakodkar 2)GanpatNaik | 1)Interactive learning and power point presentations are employed along with Traditional method 2)Lecture powerpoint was uploaded on google classroom 3)Lecture schedule was uploaded on google classroom at the beginning of semester 4)Lecture variance is Nil 5) Course and unit rating is just right 6)Variance in number of practical for all batches is +01 | Sufficient time was given to the students before evaluation and results were declared on time Marking Scheme was disclosed Feedback on assessment was shared with the students Modes of assessment were Written test and assignment | |
| 6 | Bioinorganic Chemistry CHE-III.E-4 | 1)LactinaGonsalves 2)KashinathDhumaskar | 1)Interactive learning and power point presentations are employed along with Traditional method and problem solving. 2)Lecture powerpoint was uploaded on google classroom/CLAAP 3)Lecture schedule was uploaded on google classroom/CLAAP at the beginning of semester 4)Variance of lecture -Nil | Sufficient time was given to the students before evaluation and results were declared on time Marking Scheme was disclosed Feedback on assessment was shared with the students Modes of assessment were Assignment viva and written test | Dr.LactinaGonsalves has employed the technique of POGIL in teaching the course for 5% of the lectures. Students had verbally expressed grievances over the teaching and class handling ability of Dr.KashinathDhumaskar |

| | | | 5) Course and unit rating is just right 6) Variance in number of practical for all batches is Nil | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| 7 | Advanced Chemistry –I CHE-V.C-7 | 1)Manjita Porob(Physical Chem) 2)RoopaBelurkar(Inorganic Chem) | 1)Interactive learning and power point presentations are employed along with Traditional method 2)Lecture powerpoint was uploaded on google classroom 3)Lecture schedule was uploaded on google classroom at the beginning of semester 4) Variance of lecture- +04 5) Course and unit rating is just right 6) Variance in number of Practicals for all batches01 | Sufficient time was given to the students before evaluation and results were declared on time Marking Scheme was disclosed Feedback on assessment was shared with the students Modes of assessment were written test ,Problem solving and Power point presentation. CA II in Physical chemistry was completely applicative | 01 Practical performed in Inorganic Chemistry was out of syllabus |
| 8 | Heterocyclic Chemistry CHE-V. E-9 | 1)MayuriNaik 2)KashinathDhumaskar | 1)Interactive learning and power point presentations are employed along with Traditional method and problem solving. 2)Lecture powerpoint was uploaded on google classroom 3)Lecture schedule was uploaded on google classroom at the beginning of semester | 1)Sufficient time was given to the students before evaluation and results were declared on time 2)Marking Scheme was disclosed 3)Feedback on assessment was shared with the students 4)Modes of assessment | Students had verbally expressed grievances over the teaching and class handling ability of Dr.KashinathDhumaskar |

| | | | 4)Variance of lecture -01 5) Course and unit rating is just right 6)Variance in number of practical for all the batches is 00 | were Assignment and written test | |
|----|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| 9 | Nanomaterial and Solid state Chemistry CHE-V. E-10 | 1)GanpatNaik 2)KashinathDhumaskar | 1)Interactive learning and power point presentations are employed along with Traditional method and problem solving. 2)Lecture powerpoint was uploaded on google classroom/CLAAP 3)Lecture schedule was uploaded on google classroom/CLAAP at the beginning of semester 4)Variance of lecture – 02 5) Course and unit rating is just right 6)Variance in number of practical for all the batches is 01 | 1)Sufficient time was given to the students before evaluation and results were declared on time 2)Marking Scheme was disclosed 3)Feedback on assessment was shared with the students 4)Modes of assessment were written test and model making assignment | |
| 10 | OrganomettalicChemistry CHE-V. E-11 | 1)LactinaGonsalves 2)RoopaBelurkar | 1)Interactive learning and power point presentations are employed along with | 1)Sufficient time was given to the students before evaluation and results | |

| | Traditional method and problem solving. 2)Lecture powerpoint was uploaded on google classroom/CLAAP 3)Lecture schedule was uploaded on google classroom/CLAAP at the beginning of semester 4)Variance of lecture – Nil 5) Course and unit rating is just right 6)Variance in number of practical for all the batches is Nil | were declared on time 2)Marking Scheme was disclosed 3)Feedback on assessment was shared with the students 4)Modes of assessment were Problem solving and written test | |
|--|---|--|--|
|--|---|--|--|

Manjita R Porob

Parvatibai Chowgule College of Arts and Science (Autonomous) ACADEMIC AUDIT REPORT BY HEAD OF DEPARTMENT ODD SEMESTER(2019-20)

NAME OF THE PROGRAMME: B.SC SUBJECT: COMPUTER SCIENCE

| S N | R COURSE O. TITLE, COURSE CODE, SEMESTER | NAME OF THE FACULTY MEMBER | REPORT ON TEACHING - LEARNING | REPORT ON EVALUATION OF COURSE | REPORT ON INNOVATION |
|--------|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | Mathematical Foundation I COM-I.C-1 Semester:I | Ms. Vidhya Nadagaddi | 41 lectures Traditional lecture method, Interactive lecture method,Student presentation, Laboratory work | Test1:Written test, Test2:MCQ, Test3: Assignment | Teaching-Learning Processes:Google Classroom,Assignment, Evaluation Processes:Written test, MCQ's, Assignment |
| | 2. Introduction to Programming COM-I.C-2 Semester:I | Ms. Diksha Prabhu Khorjuvenkar | 44 lectures,Traditional lecture method, Interactive lecture method,Student presentation, Laboratory work | Test1:Written test, Test2:MCQ, Test3:Presentation | Teaching-Learning Processes:Google Classroom, Presentation,Evaluation Processes:Written test, MCQ's,Presentation |
| | 3. Database Management Systems I COM-III.C-5 Semester: III | Ms. Diksha Prabhu Khorjuvenkar | 41 lectures, Traditional lecture method, Interactive lecture method, Student presentation, Laboratory work | Test1:Written test,Test2: MCQ,Test3: Presentation | Teaching-Learning Processes:Google Classroom, Presentation, Evaluation Processes:Written test, MCQ's, Presentation |

| | Software | Ms. Judith | 41 lectures, Traditional | Test1:Mixed, | Teaching-Learning |
|----|------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| | Engineering | Barreto | lecture method, | Test2:Presentation,Test3:Assignments,Test4: | Processes:CLAAP, Evaluation |
| 4. | | | Interactive lecture | End Sem | Processes:Presentations |
| | COM-III.E-1 | | method,Group | | |
| | Semester: III | | discussion, Problem | | |
| | Semester. m | | solving,Student | | |
| | | | presentation, Case | | |
| | | | studies,Laboratory | | |
| | | | work | | |
| | Digital Logic | Dr. Shaila | 42 lectures, Traditional | Test1:MCQ with short answers, Test2: Presentation | |
| | Design | Ghanti | Lecture | with Designing, Test3: Open Book (Notes) | |
| 5. | | | Method, Interactive | Exam,Test4:SEE | |
| | COM-III. E- | | Lecture | | |
| | 2 | | Method,Student | | |
| | Semester: III | | Presentation, Laboratory | | |
| | | | Work | | |
| | Web | Mr. Ian | | | |
| | Designing | Barreto | | | |
| 6. | COM III E 4 | | | | |
| | COM-III.L-4 Semester: III | | | | |
| | Semester. III | | | | |
| 7. | Operating | Mrs. Suchitra | 43 lectures, Interactive | Test1:Tests,Test2: MCQ's, | |
| | Systems | Bhat | lecture method, | Test3:Assignment,Test4:Semester End Exam | |
| | COM V C 7 | | Problem Solving, | | |
| | COM-V.C-/ | | Laboratory work | | |
| | Semester: V | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 8. | Embedded | Mr. V.C. | 45 lectures, Traditional | Test1Assignment,Test2: Written test, | Classroom brain storming session on |
| | Systems | Kumaresh | lecture method, | [Test3:Presentation, Test4:SEE | new ideas to automate any real time |
| | COM-V F-9 | | Interactive lecture | | systems. Students came up with |
| | CONT- V . E-7 | | method, Student | | ideas of doing mini projects. Three |
| | Semester: V | | presentation, Case | | mini projects are 1. Weather |
| | | | studies, Laboratory | | Monitoring system using Raspberry |
| | | | work | | P1, 2. Obstacle avoidance Robot, 3. |
| | M - 1- '1 - | N. T. 11 | | Test Weiter for Test NGO? | Health Monitoring system |
| 9. | | MIS. Vidhya | 41 lectures, Traditional | 1 est 1: written test, 1 est 2: MCQ's, | l eaching-Learning |
| | Application | INadagaddi | lecture method, | l est5:Assignment | Processes:Google |
| | | | interactive lecture | | Llassroom, Assignments, Evaluation |

| | Development COM-V. E- 10 Semester: V | | method,Laboratory work, Assignments | | Processes:Written test, MCQ's, Assignment |
|-----|--|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| 10. | Introduction to Data Science COM-V. E- 11 Semester: V | Ms. Ashweta Fondekar | 41 lectures Traditional lecture method, Interactive lecture method, Problem Solving | Test1:Written tests, Test2:MCQ's,Test3: Presentation | Teaching-Learning Processes:Google Classroom,Assignments, Evaluation Processes:Written test, MCQ's |
| 11. | Software Testing COM-V.E- 12 Semester: V | Ms. Judith Barreto | 42 lectures, Traditional lecture method, Interactive lecture method,Group discussion, Problem solving,Student presentation, Case studies,Laboratory work | Test1:Mixed, Test2:Presentation,Test3:Assignments, Test4:End Sem | CLAAP, Presentations |

Parvatibai Chowgule College of Arts and Science (Autonomous) ACADEMIC AUDIT REPORT BY HEAD OF DEPARTMENT ODD SEMESTER(2019-20)

NAME OF THE PROGRAMME: B.SC SUBJECT: COMPUTER SCIENCE

| SR NO. | COURSE TITLE, COURSE CODE, SEMESTER | NAME OF THE FACULTY MEMBER | REPORT ON TEACHING -LEARNING | REPORT ON EVALUATION OF COURSE | REPORT ON INNOVATION |
|-----------|---|----------------------------------|---|--|---|
| 1 | Python Programming COM-SEC1 | Mr. D.Prabakaran | 56 lectures, Traditional Lecture Method,Interactive Lecture Method,Group Discussion,Problem Solving,Student Presentation,Experiential Learning,Case Studies, Assignment:Solving online questions.Revision on the previous topic before teaching a new topic | Test1:Written Test,Test2:Assignment,Test3:Mini Project, Test4: (SEE) | Teaching-Learning Processes:Google Classroom, Presentations, Evaluation Processes:Problem Solving, Written Test, Assignment, Marking Schemes, Revision, Developing a mini project on a given problem |
| 2 | E Learning COM-GEC.2 | Ms. Ashweta Fondekar | 54 lectures,Traditional Lecture Method,Interactive Lecture Method,Group Discussion,Student Presentation | Test1:Written Test,Test2 MCQ,Test3:Presentation | Teaching-Learning ProcessesGoogle Classroom, Assignment,Presentation, Evaluation Processes:Written test, Multiple Choice Questions, Presentation. |

ParvatibaiChowgule College of Arts and Science (Autonomous) ACADEMIC AUDIT REPORT BY HEAD OF DEPARTMENT (2019-2020)

| NAME OF THE PROGRAMME | : Bachelor of Science |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| SUBJECT | : Geology |

| SR NO | COURSE TITLE, COURSE CODE, SEMESTER | NAME OF THE FACULTY MEMBER | REPORT ON TEACHING - LEARNING | REPORT ON EVALUATION OF COURSE | REPORT ON INNOVATION |
|----------|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | Semester I GEL-I.C-1: Fundamentals of Mineralogy | Dr. Meghana S Devli | \checkmark | √ | ~ |
| | GEL-I.C-2A: Earth's Dynamics and Tectonics | Swati S Ghadi | \checkmark | \checkmark | ~ |
| 3. | SemesterIII GEL-III.C-5A: Advanced Mineralogy and Geochemistry | Dr. Meghana S Devli | \checkmark | \checkmark | ✓ |
| | GEL-III.E-1: Physical Geology | Swati S Ghadi | \checkmark | ~ | ~ |
| | GEL-III.E-2: Groundwater and Hydrogeology | Allan Rodrigues | \checkmark | ~ | ~ |
| | GEL-III.E-3A: Ore Genesis | Harish Nadkarni | \checkmark | ~ | ~ |
| | GEL-III.E-4: Marine Geology | Malcolm Afonso | \checkmark | ~ | ~ |
| 5. | Semester V GEL-V.C-7 Igneous Petrology | Allan Rodrigues | V | * | ~ |
| | GEL-V.E-9 Stratigraphy of India – Part II | Harish Nadkarni | \checkmark | \checkmark | ~ |
| | GEL-V.E-10 Petroleum Geology | Swati S Ghadi | \checkmark | \checkmark | ~ |
| | GEL-V.E-11 Principles of Geophysical Exploration and Mining | Allan Rodrigues | \checkmark | • | ✓ |

Harish S S Nadkarni Name and Signature of HOD

Academic Audit Report 2019-2020 Department of Geology

Semester I

GEL-I.C-1: Fundamentals of Mineralogy

- <u>1.</u> Teaching learning: The resources for the said course was uploaded on Google Classroom. The content involved PowerPoint presentations, e-books and reference material. The variance in the lectures was not more than 5%. The teaching methods involved using of blackboard, Power Points, using mineral specimens, crystal models and projections.
- 2. Evaluation: The dates of every assessment was announced atleast 15days before the conduct of the examination. The instructions/guidelines were uploaded well in advance before the date of examination. All the examination was conducted as per the guidelines followed by the Examination cell. The continuous Assessments, Semester End Examination as well as the practical Assessments were conducted following the guidelines of the Examination cell of the college.
- 3. Innovation: Use of projections and models in theory. Real mineral specimens and crystal models were used for the conduct of practical's.

GEL-I.C-2A: Earth's Dynamics and Tectonics

- <u>1.</u> Teaching learning: The resources for the said course was uploaded on Google Classroom. The content involved PowerPoint presentations, e-books and reference material. The variance in the lectures was not more than 5%. The teaching methods involved using of blackboard, Power Points, using structural maps and models.
- 2. Evaluation: The dates of every assessment was announced at least 15days before the conduct of the examination. The instructions/guidelines were uploaded well in advance before the date of examination. All the examination was conducted as per the guidelines followed by the Examination cell. The continuous Assessments, Semester End Examination as well as the practical Assessments were conducted following the guidelines of the Examination cell of the college.
- <u>3.</u> Innovation: Use ofstructural models in theory. Geological map solving was used for the conduct of practical's.

SemesterIII

GEL-III.C-5A: Advanced Mineralogy and Geochemistry

- <u>1.</u> Teaching learning: The resources for the said course was uploaded on Google Classroom. The content involved PowerPoint presentations, e-books and reference material. The variance in the lectures was not more than 5%. The teaching methods involved using of blackboard, Power Points.
- <u>2.</u> Evaluation: The dates of every assessment was announced atleast 15days before the conduct of the examination. The instructions/guidelines were uploaded well in advance before the date of examination. All the examination was conducted as per the guidelines followed by the Examination cell. The continuous Assessments, Semester End Examination as well as the practical Assessments were conducted following the guidelines of the Examination cell of the college.
- <u>3.</u> Innovation: Problem solving method was employed.

GEL-III.E-1: Physical Geology

- <u>1.</u> Teaching learning: The resources for the said course was uploaded on Google Classroom. The content involved PowerPoint presentations, e-books and reference material. The variance in the lectures was not more than 5%. The teaching methods involved using of blackboard, Power Points, using physical models depicting the various features.
- 2. Evaluation: The dates of every assessment was announced atleast 15days before the conduct of the examination. The instructions/guidelines were uploaded well in advance before the date of examination. All the examination was conducted as per the guidelines followed by the Examination cell. The continuous Assessments, Semester End Examination as well as the practical Assessments were conducted following the guidelines of the Examination cell of the college.
- <u>3.</u> Innovation: Use of models for the conduct of practical's.

GEL-III.E-2: Groundwater and Hydrogeology

- <u>1.</u> Teaching learning: The resources for the said course was uploaded on Google Classroom. The content involved PowerPoint presentations, e-books and reference material. The variance in the lectures was not more than 5%. The teaching methods involved using of blackboard, Power Points.
- <u>2.</u> Evaluation: The dates of every assessment was announced atleast 15days before the conduct of the examination. The instructions/guidelines were uploaded well in advance before the date of examination. All the examination was conducted as per the guidelines followed by the Examination cell. The continuous Assessments, Semester End Examination as well as the practical Assessments were conducted following the guidelines of the Examination cell of the college.
- <u>3.</u> Innovation: Many students were motivated to take up internships in exploration of groundwater techniques at Dip Direction Company Pvt. Ltd and Terra HydroTech Ltd.

GEL-III.E-3A: Ore Genesis

- <u>1.</u> Teaching learning: The resources for the said course was uploaded on Google Classroom. The content involved PowerPoint presentations, e-books and reference material. The variance in the lectures was not more than 5%. The teaching methods involved using of blackboard, Power Points, using ore mineral specimens.
- 2. Evaluation: The dates of every assessment was announced atleast 15days before the conduct of the examination. The instructions/guidelines were uploaded well in advance before the date of examination. All the examination was conducted as per the guidelines followed by the Examination cell. The continuous Assessments, Semester End Examination as well as the practical Assessments were conducted following the guidelines of the Examination cell of the college.
- 3. Innovation: Use of ore-forming mineral specimens were used for the conduct of practical's.

GEL-III.E-4: Marine Geology

- <u>1.</u> Teaching learning: The resources for the said course was uploaded on Google Classroom. The content involved PowerPoint presentations, e-books and reference material. The variance in the lectures was not more than 5%. The teaching methods involved using of blackboard, Power Points, using Google Earth and GIS.
- <u>2.</u> Evaluation: The dates of every assessment was announced atleast 15days before the conduct of the examination. The instructions/guidelines were uploaded well in advance before the date of examination. All the examination was conducted as per the guidelines followed by the Examination cell. The continuous Assessments, Semester End Examination as well as the practical Assessments were conducted following the guidelines of the Examination cell of the college.
- <u>3.</u> Innovation: Use of Google Earth and GIS were used for the conduct of practical's. A visit to National Institute of Oceanography was followed up as an exposure for the students involving research in Marine Geology.

Semester V

GEL-V.C-7 Igneous Petrology

- <u>1.</u> Teaching learning: The resources for the said course was uploaded on Google Classroom. The content involved PowerPoint presentations, e-books and reference material. The variance in the lectures was not more than 5%. The teaching methods involved using of blackboard, Power Pointsuse of igneous rocks hand specimens and microsections.
- <u>2.</u> Evaluation: The dates of every assessment was announced at least 15days before the conduct of the examination. The instructions/guidelines were uploaded well in advance before the date of examination. All the examination was conducted as per the guidelines followed by the Examination cell. The continuous Assessments, Semester End Examination as well as the practical Assessments were conducted following the guidelines of the Examination cell of the college.
- <u>3.</u> Innovation: Igneous rocks hand specimens and microsections was used for understanding of concepts.

GEL-V.E-9 Stratigraphy of India – Part II

- <u>1.</u> Teaching learning: The resources for the said course was uploaded on Google Classroom. The content involved PowerPoint presentations, e-books and reference material. The variance in the lectures was not more than 5%. The teaching methods involved using of blackboard, Power Points.
- 2. Evaluation: The dates of every assessment was announced at least 15days before the conduct of the examination. The instructions/guidelines were uploaded well in advance before the date of examination. All the examination was conducted as per the guidelines followed by the Examination cell. The continuous Assessments, Semester End Examination as well as the practical Assessments were conducted following the guidelines of the Examination cell of the college.
- <u>3.</u> Innovation: Problem solving method was employed for a better understanding of the concepts.

GEL-V.E-10 Petroleum Geology

- 1. Teaching learning: The resources for the said course was uploaded on Google Classroom. The content involved PowerPoint presentations, e-books and reference material. The variance in the lectures was not more than 5%. The teaching methods involved using of blackboard, Power Points.
- 2. Evaluation: The dates of every assessment was announced at least 15days before the conduct of the examination. The instructions/guidelines were uploaded well in advance before the date of examination. All the examination was conducted as per the guidelines followed by the Examination cell. The continuous Assessments, Semester End Examination as well as the practical Assessments were conducted following the guidelines of the Examination cell of the college.
- Innovation: Problem solving method was employed for a better understanding of the concepts.
 For getting exposure to the work environment associated with the petroleum Industry a visit to Oil and Natural gas corporation (ONGC), IPSHEM, Betul Goa was organised.

GEL-V.E-11 Principles of Geophysical Exploration and Mining

- <u>1.</u> Teaching learning: The resources for the said course was uploaded on Google Classroom. The content involved PowerPoint presentations, e-books and reference material. The variance in the lectures was not more than 5%. The teaching methods involved using of blackboard, Power Points.
- <u>2.</u> Evaluation: The dates of every assessment was announced at least 15days before the conduct of the examination. The instructions/guidelines were uploaded well in advance before the date of examination. All the examination was conducted as

per the guidelines followed by the Examination cell. The continuous Assessments, Semester End Examination as well as the practical Assessments were conducted following the guidelines of the Examination cell of the college.

<u>3.</u> Innovation: Problem solving method was employed for a better understanding of the concepts.

GEL-V.E-12 Remote Sensing and Digital Image Processing

- <u>1.</u> Teaching learning: The resources for the said course was uploaded on Google Classroom. The content involved PowerPoint presentations, e-books and reference material. The variance in the lectures was not more than 5%. The teaching methods involved using of blackboard, Power Points and Google Earth and GIS.
- <u>2.</u> Evaluation: The dates of every assessment was announced at least 15days before the conduct of the examination. The instructions/guidelines were uploaded well in advance before the date of examination. All the examination was conducted as per the guidelines followed by the Examination cell. The continuous Assessments, Semester End Examination as well as the practical Assessments were conducted following the guidelines of the Examination cell of the college.
- 3. Innovation: Use of Google Earth and GIS was done for the conduct of practicals.

Parvatibai Chowgule College of Arts and Science (Autonomous) ACADEMIC AUDIT REPORT BY HEAD OF DEPARTMENT ODD SEMESTER(2019-20)

NAME OF THE PROGRAMME: M.SC (IT) SUBJECT: COMPUTER SCIENCE

| SR NO. | COURSE TITLE, COURSE CODE, | NAME OF THE | REPORT ON TEACHING -LEARNING | REPORT ON EVALUATION OF | REPORT ON INNOVATION |
|-----------|---|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| | SEMESTER | FACULTY MEMBER | | COURSE | |
| 1. | Data Structure and Algorithms MIT11 Semester:I | Mr. Abhishek Gudekar | 58 lectures,Traditional lecture method, Interactive lecture method,Group discussion,Problem Solving,Student Presentation,Experiential Learning ,Case studies,Laboratory Work,Research Paper | Test1:Written, Test2:MCQ Test3: Presentation Test 4:Research paper | Teaching-Learning Processes: Problem Solving method of Teaching,Evaluation Processes:Written,MCQ,Presentation,Research paper |
| 2. | Operating Systems and Networks MIT12 Semester:I | Mr. Gajanan Nial | 55 lectures Traditional lecture method, Interactive lecture method,Problem Solving,Student presentation,Experiential Learning ,Case studies, Laboratory work | Test1:Written , Test2:Puzzle solving/ Presentation, Test3: NPTEL Assignments, Test4:Viva | Teaching-Learning Processes:NPTEL courses help students strengthen their foundation in different topics, Evaluation Processes:Occasionally viva conducted through google meet to help students cope with the lockdown, Research Programme:Designing algorithms for Puzzle solving helps students try out different possibilities, analyze each and go for the best performing algorithm |

| 3. | Data Mining MIT31 Semester:III | Mr. Mahesh P. Matha | 57 lectures Traditional lecture method, Interactive lecture method,Problem Solving,Experiential Learning ,Laboratory Work | Test1:Written test, Test2:Report & Demo, Test3: Viva Test 4:MCQ | Teaching-Learning Processes: Problem Solving method of Teaching Evaluation Processes:Written Test, Group Assignment, Viva, MCQ |
|----|--|-------------------------|---|---|---|
| 4. | Information Retrieval MIT32 Semester:III | Mr. Mahesh P. Matha | 57 lectures,Traditional lecture method, Interactive lecture method,Problem Solving,Experiential Learning ,Laboratory Work | Test1:Written test, Test2:Viva Test3: Group Assignment Test 4:Written Test | Teaching-Learning Processes: Problem Solving method of Teaching Evaluation Processes:Written Test, Group Assignment, Viva |
| 5. | Computer Graphics MIT34 Semester:III | Mr. Abhishek Gudekar | 60 lectures,Traditional lecture method, Interactive lecture method,Group discussion,Problem Solving,Student Presentation,Experiential Learning ,Laboratory Work | Test1:Written, Test2:Presentation Test3: Problem Solving Test 4:Project | Teaching-Learning Processes: Problem Solving method of Teaching Evaluation Processes:Written,Presentation,Project,coding |
| 6. | Statistical Computing MIT36 Semester: III | Mr. Mahesh P. Matha | 26 lectures, Traditional lecture method, Interactive lecture method,Problem Solving,Experiential Learning ,Laboratory Work | Test1:Programming Test, Test2:Written Test | Teaching-Learning Processes: Problem Solving method of Teaching Evaluation Processes:Written Test, Programming Test |

Parvatibai Chowgule College of Arts and Science (Autonomous) ACADEMIC AUDIT REPORT BY HEAD OF DEPARTMENT ODD SEMESTER (2019-20)

NAME OF THE PROGRAMME: B.SC SUBJECT: PGDCA REPORT OF COURSES AUDITED:

| SR NO. | COURSE TITLE, COURSE CODE, SEMESTER | NAME OF THE FACULTY MEMBER | REPORT ON TEACHING -LEARNING | REPORT ON EVALUATION OF COURSE | REPORT ON INNOVATION |
|-----------|---|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1. | Multimedia DCAEL-1 | Mr. V.C. Kumaresh | 42 lectures, Traditional Lecture Method, Interactive Lecture Method, Student Presentation, Laboratory work, Multimedia Tool Demonstrations | Test1:Assignment, Test2:Written test, Test3:Presentation, Test4:Semester End Exam | Teaching-Learning Processes:Demonstrations of various Multimedia tools, Evaluation Processes:Mini projects |
| 2 | E learning DCA-EL2 | Ms. Ashweta Fondekar | 41 lectures,Traditional Lecture Method,Interactive Lecture Method,Student Presentation | Test1:Written Test,Test2:MCQ,Test3: Presentation | Teaching -Learning Processes :Google Classroom,Assignment,Presentation, Evaluation Processes: Written test, MCQ's, Presentation |
| 3 | E Commerce DCA-EL5 | Ms. Suchitra Bhat | 41 lectures, Interactive lecture method,Problem solving,Student presentation,Case studies,Laboratory work | Test1:Presentation, Test2:Written test, Test3:Case study, Test4:Semester End | Teaching -Learning ProcessesUse of ICT in effective delivery of lectures, Evaluation Processes:Test, Case study, Presentation used for Evaluation |
| 4. | Object Oriented Programming DCA11 | Mr. D.Prabajaran | 43 lectures,Traditional lecture method, Interactive lecture method, Student presentation,Problem Solving,Experiential Learning, Laboratory Work, Assignment | Test1:Written test,Test2:Assignments, Test3:Presentation, Test4:Semester End (SEE) | Teaching-Learning Processes:Google Classroom, Presentations,Evaluation Processes:Problem Solving, Written Test, Assignment, Marking Schemes, Revision |

| 5. | Database | Ms. | 44 lectures,Interactive | Test1:Written Test | Teaching-Learning |
|----|--------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Management | Clementine | Lecture | Test2:Assignment, | Processes:Google Classroom, |
| | Systems | Antao | Method,Debate,Problem | Test3:MCQ,Test4:SEM | Presentation,Evaluation |
| | DCA 12 | | Solving,Student | | Processes:Written test, Multiple |
| | DCA12 | | Presentation,Case | | Choice Questions, Assignment. |
| | | | Studies,Laboratory | | |
| | | | Work,Other Methods / | | |
| | | | Innovative | | |
| | Client Side | Mr. Ian | | | |
| | Technologies | Barreto | | | |
| 6. | DCA13 | | | | |

Parvatibai Chowgule College of Arts and Science (Autonomous) ACADEMIC AUDIT REPORT BY HEAD OF DEPARTMENT (2018-2019) ODD SEMESTER

NAME OF THE PROGRAMME: B.Sc. SUBJECT: PHYSICS

| SR | COURSE TITLE, COURSE | NAME OF THE | REPORT ON | REPORT ON | REPORT ON |
|-----|----------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| NO. | CODE, SEMESTER | FACULTY MEMBER | TEACHING -LEARNING | EVALUATION OF COURSE | INNOVATION |
| 1 | SEMESTER: I | Dr. Ashish Desai | • Whether the course resources | • Whether Assessment dates | Innovations done at |
| | COURSE TITLE: | Ms. Pearl Oliveira | were uploaded on | & modes declared in | Teaching - Learning- |
| | Introduction to | | CLAAP/Google classroom: Yes | advance: Yes | Evaluation by faculty: |
| | Mathematical Physics | | • Sufficient resources were | •Whether marking scheme | |
| | COURSE CODE: | | provided: Yes | discussed / explained / | • Outreach |
| | PHY-I.C-1 | | • Different types of resources | uploaded: Yes | programmes / |
| | | | provided: Lecture notes, Text | •Weightage of marks | research done / any |
| | | | books | justified: Yes | other innovation: |
| | | | • Variance of lectures engaged: -4 | • Whether number of | |
| | | | • Number and types of modes of | assessments conducted for | |
| | | | teaching used: Traditional | theory & or practical meets | |
| | | | Lecture Method, Group | the requirement as per | |
| | | | Discussion, Problem Solving. | examination cell: Yes | |
| | | | • Suggestions offered for revision | • Whether more than 04 | |
| | | | of course if any: | assessment modes used: 2 | |
| | | | | CA modes used | |
| | | | | • % of Higher order questions | |
| | | | | in each assessment mode: | |
| | | | | 50% in CA written test | |
| | | | | and 40% in SEE written | |
| | | | | exam. | |

| | | | • Whether documents furnished were satisfactory: Yes |
|---|--|--------------------|--|
| 2 | SEMESTER: I COURSE TITLE: Mechanics-I COURSE CODE: PHY-I.C-2 | Ms. Suvarna Patil | Whether the course resources were uploaded on CLAAP/Google classroom: Yes Sufficient resources were provided: Yes Whether marking scheme discussed / explained / Uifferent types of resources uploaded: Yes Weightage of marks justified: Yes Wariance of lectures engaged: -4 Number and types of modes of teaching used: Traditional Lecture Method, Problem Solving, Laboratory work Suggestions offered for revision of course if any: Suggestions offered for revision of course if any: Whether more than 04 assessment modes used: 2 CA modes used + SEE % of Higher order questions in each assessment mode: 30% in CA Written test, 60% in MCQ, 30 % in SEE Whether documents furnished were satisfactory: Yes |
| 3 | SEMESTER: III COURSE TITLE: Electromagnetic Theory-I | Mr. Yatin P. Desai | •Whether the course resources were uploaded on CLAAP/Google classroom: Yes •Whether Assessment dates & modes declared in advance: Yes •Innovations done at Teaching - Learning- Evaluation by faculty: |

| | COURSE CODE: PHY-III.C-5 | | Sufficient resources were provided: Yes Different types of resources provided: Lecture notes, PPTs, text books Variance of lectures engaged: -1 Number and types of modes of teaching used: Traditional Method, Group discussion Suggestions offered for revision of course if any: | Whether marking scheme discussed / explained / uploaded: Yes Weightage of marks justified: Yes Whether number of assessments conducted for theory & or practical meets the requirement as per examination cell: Yes Whether more than 04 | • Outreach programmes / research done / any other innovation: |
|---|--|----------------|---|---|--|
| | | | | assessment modes used: 3 modes used % of Higher order questions in each assessment mode: 40% in CA written test, 47% in MCQs, 100% in open book exam and 30% in SEE. Whether documents furnished were satisfactory: Yes | |
| 4 | SEMESTER: III COURSE TITLE: Optics COURSE CODE: PHY-E1 | Dr. Ananya Das | Whether the course resources were uploaded on CLAAP/Google classroom: Yes Sufficient resources were provided: Yes Different types of resources provided: Lecture notes, text | Whether Assessment dates & modes declared in advance: Yes Whether marking scheme discussed / explained / uploaded: Yes Weightage of marks justified: Yes | Innovations done at Teaching - Learning- Evaluation by faculty: Outreach programmes / research done / any other innovation: |

| | | | books Links to OCW Journal | Whether number of | |
|---|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| | | | Articles | assessments conducted for | |
| | | | • Variance of lectures engaged: 0 | theory & or practical meets | |
| | | | • Number and types of modes of | the requirement as per | |
| | | | • Number and types of modes of | avamination colli Vos | |
| | | | teaching used: Traditional | examination cen: res | |
| | | | Method, Interactive method, | • Whether more than 04 | |
| | | | Group discussion, problem | assessment modes used: 3 | |
| | | | solving, Laboratory work | modes used [2CA +1SEE] | |
| | | | • Suggestions offered for revision | • % of Higher order questions | |
| | | | of course if any: | in each assessment mode: | |
| | | | | 30% in CA Written Test | |
| | | | | mode 40% in CA MCQ | |
| | | | | Mode, 40% in SEE. | |
| | | | | • Whether documents | |
| | | | | furnished were satisfactory: | |
| | | | | Yes | |
| | | | | | |
| 5 | SEMESTER: III | Dr. Ashish Desai | • Whether the course resources | • Whether Assessment dates | Innovations done at |
| | COURSE TITLE: | Ms. Pearl Oliveira | were uploaded on | & modes declared in | Teaching - Learning- |
| | Modern Physics | | CLAAP/Google classroom: Yes | advance: Yes | Evaluation by faculty: |
| | COURSE CODE: | | • Sufficient resources were | •Whether marking scheme | Using peer |
| | PHY-E2 | | provided: Yes | discussed / explained / | instruction teaching |
| | | | • Different types of resources | uploaded: Yes | method. |
| | | | provided: Lecture notes , | •Weightage of marks | Evaluation processes.: |
| | | | Journal Articles | justified: Yes | • Outreach |
| | | | • Variance of lectures engaged: -4 | • Whether number of | programmes / |
| | | | • Number and types of modes of | assessments conducted for | research done / any |
| | | | teaching used: Traditional | theory & or practical meets | other innovation: |
| | | | Method, Interactive method, | the requirement as per | |
| | | | Group Discussion, Problem | examination cell: Yes | |
| | | | Solving, Laboratory work | | |

| | | • Suggestions offered for revision of course if any: | Whether more than 04 assessment modes used: No % of Higher order questions in each assessment mode: 60% in MCQs, 20% in CA written test, 15% SEE. Whether documents furnished were satisfactory: Yes | |
|--|--------------------|--|---|---|
| 6 SEMESTER: III COURSE TITLE: Oscillations, Waves and Sound COURSE CODE: PHY-E3 | Ms. Pearl Oliveira | Whether the course resources were uploaded on CLAAP/Google classroom: Yes Sufficient resources were provided: Yes Different types of resources provided: Lecture notes, PPTs, text books Variance of lectures engaged: -2 Number and types of modes of teaching used: Traditional Method, Interactive method, Problem Solving, Laboratory work Suggestions offered for revision of course if any: | Whether Assessment dates & modes declared in advance: Yes Whether marking scheme discussed / explained / uploaded: Yes Weightage of marks justified: Yes Whether number of assessments conducted for theory & or practical meets the requirement as per examination cell: Yes Whether more than 04 assessment modes used. % of Higher order questions in each assessment mode: 40% in CA written test, 30% in open book exam, 30 % in MCOs and | Innovations done at Teaching - Learning- Evaluation by faculty: Resources (Presentation, classroom notes, book references) were uploaded to Google Classroom. All lectures involved traditional and interactive lecture method, problem solving Evaluation Process : 3 different modes of assessment were used. Date of assessment was announced well in |

| | Whether documents furnished were satisfactory: Yes | advance along with the marking scheme. • Outreach programmes / |
|---|---|--|
| | | research done / any other innovation: |
| 7 SEMESTER: V Mr. Yatin P. Desai • Whether the course resources • W COURSE TITLE: Electromagnetic Theory-II • Whether the course resources were • Were uploaded • W COURSE CODE: PHY-V.C-7 • Whether the course resources were • Wether the course resources • Wethod, Problem Solving, • Wethod, | Whether Assessment dates & modes declared in advance: Yes Whether marking scheme discussed / explained / uploaded: Yes Weightage of marks justified: Yes Whether number of assessments conducted for theory & or practical meets the requirement as per examination cell: Yes Whether more than 04 assessment modes used: 2 CA modes used + SEE. % of Higher order questions in each assessment mode: 33% and 53 % respectively in CA1 and | Innovations done at Teaching - Learning- Evaluation by faculty: Outreach programmes / research done / any other innovation: |

| | | | | 50% in written exam, 48% in SEE Whether documents furnished were satisfactory: Yes | |
|---|--|----------------|---|---|--|
| | | | | | |
| 8 | SEMESTER: V | Dr. Ananya Das | • Whether the course resources | • Whether Assessment dates | Innovations done at |
| | COURSE TITLE: Solid State Physics COURSE CODE: PHY-E9 | | Whether the course resources were uploaded on CLAAP/Google classroom: Yes Sufficient resources were provided: Yes Different types of resources provided: Lecture notes, PPTs, Links to open course wares, text books, Audio Visual Resources Variance of lectures engaged: 00 Number and types of modes of teaching used: Traditional Method, Interactive method, Problem solving, Laboratory work, Competency Test Suggestions offered for revision of course if any: | Whether Assessment dates & modes declared in advance: Yes Whether marking scheme discussed / explained / uploaded: Yes Weightage of marks justified: Yes Whether number of assessments conducted for theory & or practical meets the requirement as per examination cell: Yes Whether more than 04 assessment modes used: 2 CA modes used + SEE. % of Higher order questions in each assessment mode: 40% each in 2 CA modes, 30% each in 2 written test modes. 40% in SEE. | Innovations done at Teaching - Learning- Evaluation by faculty: Outreach programmes / research done / any other innovation: |
| | | | | • Whether documents furnished were satisfactory: Yes | |

| 9 | SEMESTER: V | Dr. Ashish Desai | •Whether the course resources | • Whether Assessment dates | Innovations done at |
|----|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| | COURSE TITLE: | Ms. Pearl Oliveira | were uploaded on | & modes declared in | Teaching - Learning- |
| | Thermodynamics and | | CLAAP/Google classroom: Yes | advance: Yes | Evaluation by faculty: |
| | Statistical Mechanics | | • Sufficient resources were | • Whether marking scheme | |
| | COURSE CODE: | | provided: Yes | discussed / explained / | • Outreach |
| | PHY-E10 | | • Different types of resources | uploaded: Yes | programmes / |
| | | | provided: Journal Articles | • Weightage of marks | research done / any |
| | | | • Variance of lectures engaged: -3 | justified: Yes | other innovation: |
| | | | • Number and types of modes of | • Whether number of | |
| | | | teaching used: Traditional | assessments conducted for | |
| | | | Method, Group discussion. | theory & or practical meets | |
| | | | • Suggestions offered for revision | the requirement as per | |
| | | | of course if any: | examination cell: Yes | |
| | | | | • Whether more than 04 | |
| | | | | assessment modes used: 3 | |
| | | | | CA Modes used + SEE. | |
| | | | | • % of Higher order questions | |
| | | | | in each assessment mode: | |
| | | | | 25% in CA1: written Test, | |
| | | | | 40% in CA2 MCQ, 100% in | |
| | | | | CA3 Assignment, 18% in | |
| | | | | SEE | |
| | | | | • Whether documents | |
| | | | | furnished were satisfactory: | |
| | | | | Yes | |
| 10 | SEMESTED. V | Mr. Suvarna Datil | | | |
| 10 | JEMESTER, V | | • Whether the course resources | • Whether Assessment dates | Innovations done at |
| | COURSE TITLE: | | were uploaded on | & modes declared in | Teaching - Learning- |
| | Electronics-II | | CLAAP/Google classroom: Yes | advance: Yes | Evaluation by faculty: |
| | COURSE CODE: | | • Sufficient resources were | | |
| | PHY-E11 | | provided: Yes | | |

| | | | Different types of resources provided: Lecture notes, PPTs, Text books Variance of lectures engaged: -3 Number and types of modes of teaching used: Traditional Lecture Method, Interactive Lecture Method, Problem Solving, Laboratory work | Whether marking scheme discussed / explained / uploaded: Yes Weightage of marks justified: Yes Whether number of assessments conducted for theory & or practical meets the requirement as per | • Outreach programmes / research done / any other innovation: |
|----|--|--|--|---|--|
| | | | • Suggestions offered for revision of course if any: | examination cell: Yes Whether more than 04 assessment modes used: 2 CA modes used + SEE. % of Higher order questions in each assessment mode: 53.3% in CA written test, 56.5% in MCQs and 42.4% in SEE. Whether documents furnished were satisfactory: Yes | |
| 11 | SEMESTER: V COURSE TITLE: Elementary Physics I COURSE CODE: PHY-I1 | Ms. Pearl Oliveira Dr. Ashish Desai | Whether the course resources were uploaded on CLAAP/Google classroom: Yes Sufficient resources were provided: Yes Different types of resources provided: Lecture notes, PPTs, text books Variance of lectures engaged: -1 | Whether Assessment dates & modes declared in advance: Yes Whether marking scheme discussed / explained / uploaded: Yes Weightage of marks justified: Yes | Innovations done at Teaching - Learning- Evaluation by faculty: Outreach programmes / research done / any other innovation: |

| • Number and types of modes of • Whether nu | umber of |
|---|---------------------|
| teaching used: Traditional assessments co | onducted for |
| Lecture Method, Interactive theory & or pra | actical meets |
| Lecture Method, Problem the requireme | ent as per |
| Solving, Laboratory work examination cell | ll: Yes |
| Suggestions offered for revision Whether more | e than 04 |
| of course if any: assessment mo | odes used: 3 |
| CA modes used | 1 + SEE |
| • % of Higher ord | ler questions |
| in each assess | ment mode: |
| 40% in each m | ode |
| • Whether | documents |
| furnished were | satisfactory: |
| Yes | |
| | |

Bese

YATIN P. DESAI Name and Signature of HOD

ParvatibaiChowgule College of Arts and Science (Autonomous) ACADEMIC AUDIT REPORT BY HEAD OF DEPARTMENT (2019-20)

NAME OF THE PROGRAMME:_BSc(Semester II/IV/VI)_ SUBJECT:CHEMISTRY

REPORT OF COURSES AUDITED: Head, Department Of Chemistry

| SR | COURSE TITLE, | NAME OF THE | REPORT ON | REPORT ON | REPORT ON |
|-----|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| NO. | COURSE CODE, | FACULTY MEMBER | TEACHING -LEARNING | EVALUATION OF | INNOVATION |
| | SEMESTER | | | COURSE | |
| 1 | Concepts in Physical | 1)KashinathDhumaskar | | | |
| | and Analytical | 2)Priyanka Kavlekar | 1)Interactive learning and power | 1)Marking Scheme was | |
| | Chemistry | | point presentations are employed | disclosed | Dr.KashinathDhuma |
| | CHE-II.C-3 | | along with Traditional method | 2)Feedback on assessment | skar had completed only |
| | | | 2)Lecture powerpoint was | was shared with the students | one unit of Physical |
| | | | uploaded on google classroom | 3)Modes of assessment were | Chemistry during the |
| | | | 3)Lecture schedule was uploaded | written test and Assignment | entire semester and he |
| | | | on google classroom at the | 4) No weightage for higher | was unable to justify this |
| | | | beginning of semester | order questions in CA 1 | serious lapse on his side |
| | | | 4) Variance of lecture -06 | | |
| | | | 5) Course and unit rating is just | | |
| | | | right | | |
| | | | 6) variance in number of | | |
| | | | practicals for all batches-Nil | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Concents in Organic | 1)PadminiRaikar(organic | 1)Interactive learning and nower | 1)Sufficient time was given | |
| 2 | and Inorganic | Chem) | noint presentations are employed | to the students before | |
| - | Chemistry | 2)NavitaNaik(Inorganic | along with Traditional method | evaluation and results were | |
| | CHE-II.C-4 | Chem) | 2)Lecture powerpoint was | declared on time | |
| | | , , | uploaded on google classroom | 2)Marking Scheme was | |
| | | | 3)Lecture schedule was uploaded | disclosed | |
| | | | on google classroom at the | 3)Feedback on assessment | |
| | | | beginning of semester | was shared with the students | |
| | | | 4) Variance of lecture-06 | 4)Modes of assessment were | |
| | | | 5) Course and unit rating is just | Assignment and written test | |
| | | | right | 5) No weightage for higher | |
| | | | 6) Variance in number of | order questions in CA 1 | |

| | | | practicalsfor all batches+02 | 6) CA-I was completely creative testing the confidence level | |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| 3 | Comprehensive Chemistry –II CHE-IV.C-6 | 1)Sachin Kakodkar(AnalyticalChem) 2)MayuriNaik(organic Chem) | 1)Interactive learning and power point presentations are employed along with Traditional method 2)Lecture powerpoint was uploaded on google classroom 3)Lecture schedule was uploaded on google classroom at the beginning of semester 4)Lecture variance is -11 5) Course and unit rating is just right 6)Variance in number of practical for batches is -01, -02 | 1)Sufficient time was given to the students before evaluation and results were declared on time 2)Marking Scheme was disclosed 3)Feedback on assessment was shared with the students 4)Modes of assessment were written test & assignment. 5) 20% of the questions in CA 1 were of higher order | |
| 4 | Pharmaceutical Chemistry CHE-IV.E-5 | 1)NavitaNaik 2)Kashinath Dhumaskar | 1)Interactive learning and power point presentations are employed along with Traditional method 2)Lecture powerpoint was uploaded on google classroom 3)Lecture variance is -08 4) Course and unit rating is just right 5)Variance in number of practical for all batches is -01 | 1)Sufficient time was given to the students before evaluation and results were declared on time 2)Marking Scheme was disclosed 3)Feedback on assessment was shared with the students 4)Modes of assessment were Power point presentationsand written test | As per the feedback from the students Dr.KashinathDhumaskar had given 2 entire units to the students as a CA using power point mode but later he did not explain the concepts clearly to the students and teaching was not systematic. |

| 5 | Polymer and Colloid Science CHE-IV.E-6 | 1)GanpatNaik 2)Sachin Kakodkar | Interactive learning and power point presentations are employed along with Traditional method Lecture powerpoint was uploaded on google classroom Lecture schedule was uploaded on google classroom at the beginning of semester Lecture variance is Nil Course and unit rating is just right Variance in number of practical for batches is Nil | 1)Sufficient time was given to the students before evaluation and results were declared on time 2)Marking Scheme was disclosed 3)Feedback on assessment was shared with the students 4)Modes of assessment were written test & assignment/Model making. | |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| 6 | Spectroscopic Techniques CHE-IV.E-7 | 1)LactinaGonsalves 2)KashinathDhumaskar | 1)Interactive learning and power point presentations are employed along with Traditional method and problem solving. 2)Lecture powerpoint was uploaded on google classroom/CLAAP 3)Lecture schedule was uploaded on google classroom/CLAAP at the beginning of semester 4)Variance of lecture -06 5) Course and unit rating is just right 6)Variance in number of practical for all batches is Nil | 1)Sufficient time was given to the students before evaluation and results were declared on time 2)Marking Scheme was disclosed 3)Feedback on assessment was shared with the students 4)Modes of assessment were Numericals & written test. | Dr. Lactina Gonsalves has employed the technique of POGIL in teaching the course for 10% of the lectures. As per the complaints from students, Dr.Kashinath Dhumaskar had not covered the syllabus systematically and hence the students had lot of difficulties in answering the Summative exam. However the concerned teacher claimed to have explained the important concepts to the students |

| 7 | Advanced Chemistry –II CHE-VI.C-8 | 1)GanpatNaik(Analytical Chem) 2)MayuriNaik(organic Chem) | Interactive learning and power point presentations are employed along with Traditional method Lecture powerpoint was uploaded on google classroom Lecture schedule was uploaded on google classroom at the beginning of semester Lecture variance is -10 Course and unit rating is just right Variance in number of practical for batches is -01 | 1)Sufficient time was given to the students before evaluation and results were declared on time 2)Marking Scheme was disclosed 3)Feedback on assessment was shared with the students 4)Modes of assessment were written test & assignment/innovative experiments. | Dr. Ganpat Naik assessed a group of students for designing innovative experiments as a part of CA-II |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| 8 | Spectroscopic Methods in Organic Chemistry CHE-VI. E-13 | 1)PadminiRaikar 2)MayuriNaik | 1)Interactive learning and power point presentations are employed along with Traditional method and problem solving. 2)Lecture powerpoint was uploaded on google classroom/CLAAP 3)Lecture schedule was uploaded on google classroom/CLAAP at the beginning of semester 4)Variance of lecture -06 5) Course and unit rating is just right 6)Variance in number of practical for all the batches is -01 | 1)Sufficient time was given to the students before evaluation and results were declared on time 2)Marking Scheme was disclosed 3)Feedback on assessment was shared with the students 4)Modes of assessment were Assignment and Power point presentation | |
| 9 | Environmental Chemistry | 1)Priyanka Kavlekar 2)RoopaBelurkar | 1)Interactive learning and power point presentations are employed | 1)Date of declaration of results is not mentioned in | |

| | CHE-VI. E-14 | | along with Traditional method and problem solving. 2)Resource material was uploaded on google classroom 3)Variance of lecture – -05 4) Course and unit rating is just right 5)Variance in number of practical for all the batches is Nil | the audit form 2)Marking Scheme was disclosed 3)Modes of assessment were Presentation and Assignment | |
|----|--|--|--|---|--|
| 10 | Selected Topics in Inorganic Chemistry CHE-VI. E-15 | 1)Lactina Gonsalves 2)Roopa Belurkar | 1)Interactive learning and power point presentations are employed along with Traditional method and problem solving. 2)Lecture powerpoint was uploaded on google classroom/CLAAP 3)Lecture schedule was uploaded on google classroom/CLAAP at the beginning of semester 4)Variance of lecture – 08 5) Course and unit rating is just right 6)Variance in number of practical for all the batches is -01 | Sufficient time was given to the students before evaluation and results were declared on time Marking Scheme was disclosed Feedback on assessment was shared with the students Modes of assessment were written test and Assignment | |
| 11 | Skill enhancement course in Chemistry CHE-III. SEC-1 | 1)Priyanka Kavlekar 2)Navita Naik 3)Kashinath Dhumaskar | 1)Interactive learning and power point presentations are employed along with Traditional 2)Variance of lecture - 16 3) Course and unit rating is just right | 1)Sufficient time was given to the students before evaluation and results were declared on time 2)Marking Scheme was disclosed 3)Feedback on assessment was shared with the students 4)Modes of assessment were power point presentations, written test and Practical skills | |

Manjita R Porob

Parvatibai Chowgule College of Arts and Science (Autonomous) ACADEMIC AUDIT REPORT BY HEAD OF DEPARTMENT EVEN SEMESTER (2019-20)

NAME OF THE PROGRAMME: B.SC. SUBJECT: COMPUTER SCIENCE

| SR | COURSE TITLE, | NAME OF THE | REPORT ON | REPORT ON | REPORT ON |
|-----|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| NO. | COURSE CODE, | FACULTY MEMBER | TEACHING -LEARNING | EVALUATION OF COURSE | INNOVATION |
| | SEMESTER | | | | |
| 1. | Database Management | Mr. Ian Barreto | | | |
| | I | | | | |
| | COM-III.C-3 * | | | | |
| | Semester:II | | | | |
| 2. | Data Structure | Mr.D. Prabakaran | 39 lectures (Before | Test 1:Written test, Test | Teaching-Learning Processes:Google |
| | COM-II.C-4 | | lockdown), Traditional | 2:Assignment (Soft copy of | classroom,Presentation, Evaluation |
| | Semester II | | lecture Method, | assignment uploaded in the link | Processes:Problem Solving, Written Test, |
| | | | Interactive lecture | provided(Google Classroom), | Assignment, Marking Schemes, Rubrics |
| | | | method,Problem | Problem Based Assessment, | for evaluation, Revision |
| | | | solving,Experiential | Answer books, Test 3: Problem | |
| | | | learning ,Laboratory | based Assessment | |
| | | | work, | | |
| | Database Management | Ms. Clementine | | | |
| | II | Antao | | | |
| 3. | COM-E17 | | | | |
| | Semester:IV | | | | |
| 4. | Server Side | Mr. Ian Barreto | | | |
| | Programming | | | | |
| | COM-IV.E-7 | | | | |
| | Semester:IV | | | | |
| | Computer | Mr. V. C. Kumaresh | 38 lectures, Traditional | Test1:Written,Test2: Assignment, | |
| | Architecture Design | | lecture Method, | Test3:Presentation, Test4:Viva | |
| 4. | COM-IV.C-6 | | Interactive lecture | | |
| | Semester: IV | | method, Student | | |
| | | | presentation, Case | | |
| | | | studies, Laboratory | | |
| | | | work,Viva | | |
| 6. | Human Computer | Ms. Diksha Prabhu | 41 lectures, Traditional | Test1:Written test, Test | Teaching-Learning Processes:Google |
| | Interface | Khorjuvenkar | lecture | 2:MCQ,Test 3:Presentation, Test | classroom, Presentation, Whatsapp, |

| - | | | | | |
|-----|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| | COM-IV.E-8 | | Method,Interactive | 4:Problem Based, Question papers | Evaluation Processes:Written test, MCQ, |
| | Semester IV | | lecture method,Group | and Answer papers, Online | Presentation |
| | | | discussion,Problem | submission of PPT,Online | |
| | | | solving,Student | submission of Answer sheets | |
| | | | presentation,Case | | |
| | | | studies,Laboratory | | |
| | | | work | | |
| 7. | Network Security | Ms. Ashweta | 34 Hour Lectures, | Test 1:Written test, Test | Teaching-Learning Processes:Google |
| | COM- E-13 | Fondekar | Traditional lecture, | 2:MCO,Test 3:Presentation, Test | classroom, Assignment, Presentation, |
| | VI | | Interactive | 4:SEE (Online) | Mini project. Evaluation |
| | | | lectures.Problem | | Processes:Written test, Multiple choice |
| | | | solving. Student | | questions Presentation |
| | | | presentation | | questions, rresentation |
| 8. | Computer Network | Dr. Shaila Ghanti | 34 (in | Test1.MCO with short | |
| 0. | COM-VI C-8 | Di onuna onuna | class)+11(Online)=45 | answers Test? Design Construct | |
| | Semester:VI | | Lectures Traditional | and Presentation. | |
| | Semester. v i | | Lecture Method | Test ³ Assignment with | |
| | | | Interactive Lecture | VIVA Test/·SEE | |
| | | | Method Student | VIVA, ICST4.5EE | |
| | | | Presentation | | |
| | | | I aboratory Work | | |
| | | | MCO | | |
| 9 | Multimedia | Me Vidbuo | 36 lectures Traditional | Test1:Written test Test2:MCO | Teaching Learning Processes Coogle |
| 9. | Toobniquos (Electivo) | Nadagaddi | Jostura Mathad | Test1: Willen lest, Test2.MCQ, | alageroom Aggignments Evaluation |
| | COM VIE 15 | Inauagauui | Internative lecture | submission) Question and | Classi oolii, Assignments, Evaluation |
| | CONI VI.E-13 | | mathed Drohlam | A nerver nonzero | Processes :written test, MCQ, |
| | Semester : v1 | | inethod, Problem | Answer papers | Assignments |
| | | | solving, Laboratory | | |
| 10 | | Ma Olama (| work, Assignments | | |
| 10. | Digital Marketing | IVIS. Clementine | | | |
| | COM-VI. E-16 | Antao | | | |
| | Semester:VI | | | | |

Name and Signature of HOD

Parvatibai Chowgule College of Arts and Science (Autonomous) ACADEMIC AUDIT REPORT BY HEAD OF DEPARTMENT EVEN SEMESTER(2019-20) GEC/SEC/ID

NAME OF THE PROGRAMME: B.SC SUBJECT: COMPUTER SCIENCE

| SR | COURSE TITLE, | NAME OF THE | REPORT ON | REPORT ON | REPORT ON |
|-----|-----------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| NO. | COURSE CODE, | FACULTY | TEACHING -LEARNING | EVALUATION OF | INNOVATION |
| | SEMESTER | MEMBER | | COURSE | |
| 1. | E Learning | Ms. Ashweta | 41 lectures, Traditional lecture, | Test 1: Written test, Test | Teaching -Learning Processes:Google |
| | COMOTOR | Fondekar | Interactive lecture method, Group | 2: MCQ+Activities(2), | Classroom, Assignment, Presentation, |
| | COM-GEC.2 | | discussion, Student Presentation | Test 3:Assignment, Test | Mind maps, demonstration on Powtoon |
| | т | | | 4:Summative | tool, Learning management system |
| | 11 | | | Assessment (Portfolio) | (Moodle), Evaluation Processes:Written |
| | | | | | test, Multiple choice questions, |
| | | | | | Presentation, Activity on learning |
| | | | | | management system: Students created |
| | | | | | course, Assessments, learned to give |
| | | | | | different permission rights,to assign |
| | | | | | various roles (teachers, students, co- |
| | | | | | teacher) etc Activity on Powtoon: |
| | | | | | Students created professional and fully |
| | | | | | customized videos/PPT using Powtoon |
| | | | | | tool on specific topic. |
| 2. | Scilab Programming | Ms. Diksha | 55 lectures, Traditional lecture | Test1:MCQ,Test | Teaching -Learning Processes:Google |
| | COM SEC3 | Prabhu | method, Interactive lecture method, | 2:Written test, Test | Classroom,Presentation,Whatsapp, |
| | COM-SEC5 | Khorjuvenkar | Problem solving, Student presentation | 3:Presentation, Test | Evaluation Processes :Written test, MCQ, |
| | Semester :IV | | Experiential learning | 4:Problem Based, | Presentation |
| | | | | Question papers and | |
| | | | | Answer papers, Online | |
| | | | | SUDINISSION OI | |
| | | | | of Answer sheets | |
| 4 | Multimedia Techniques | Ms Vidhya | 37 lectures Traditional Lecture | Test 1.Written Test | Teaching Learning Processes: Coogle |
| | (ID) | Nadagaddi | Method Interactive I ecture | Test?·MCO | classroom Activities Evaluation |
| | | 1 tudugudui | Method Problem Solving Activities | Test3. Activities | Processes Written test MCO Activities |
| | COM-GEC-I | | | Question Paper Answer | |
| | | | | naper Online | |
| | Semester :VI | | | submission of activities | |
| | | | | | |

ParvatibaiChowgule College of Arts and Science (Autonomous) ACADEMIC AUDIT REPORT BY HEAD OF DEPARTMENT (2019-2020)

| NAME OF THE PROGRAMME | : Bachelor of Science |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| SUBJECT | : Geology |

| SR NO | COURSE TITLE, COURSE CODE, SEMESTER | NAME OF THE FACULTY MEMBER | REPORT ON TEACHING - LEARNING | REPORT ON EVALUATION OF COURSE | REPORT ON INNOVATION |
|----------|---|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2. | Semester II GEL-II.C-3A: Elementary Petrology | Allan Rodrigues | ✓ | ~ | ✓ |
| | GEL-II.C-4: Principles of Stratigraphy and Palaeontology | Swati S Ghadi | ✓ | ~ | ✓ |
| 4. | Semester IV GEL-IV.C-6: Structural Geology | Harish Nadkarni | ~ | ~ | ✓ |
| | GEL-IV.E-5A: Engineering Geology | Harish Nadkarni | ~ | ~ | ~ |
| | GEL-IV.E-6A: Optical Mineralogy | Dr. Meghana S Devli | ~ | \checkmark | ~ |
| | GEL-IV.E-7: Natural Hazards and Management | Malcolm Afonso | ~ | \checkmark | ~ |
| | GEL-IV.E-8: Geotectonics | Allan Rodrigues | ~ | \checkmark | ~ |
| 6. | Semester VI GEL-VI.C-8 Sedimentary Petrology | Allan Rodrigues | ✓ | ~ | ~ |
| | GEL-VI.E-13 Metamorphic Petrology | Dr. Meghana S Devli Harish Nadkarni | ~ | ~ | ✓ |
| | GEL-VI.E-14 : Rock Deformation Microstructures | Dr. Meghana S Devli Magnolia Miranda | ~ | ~ | ~ |

| GELVI.E-15 : Surveying and Field Geology | Harish Nadkarni Malcolm Afonso | V | V | √ |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| GEL-VI.E-16 Gemstone Testing and Evaluation | Dr. Meghana S Devli | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark |

Harish S S Nadkarni Name and Signature of HOD

Academic Audit Report 2019-2020 Department of Geology

Semester II

GEL-II.C-3A: Elementary Petrology

- <u>1.</u> Teaching learning: The resources for the said course was uploaded on Google Classroom. The content involved PowerPoint presentations, e-books and reference material. The variance in the lectures was not more than 5%. The teaching methods involved using of blackboard, Power Points, using rock specimens.
- 2. Evaluation: The dates of every assessment was announced atleast 15days before the conduct of the examination. The instructions/guidelines were uploaded well in advance before the date of examination. All the examination was conducted as per the guidelines followed by the Examination cell. The continuous Assessments, Semester End Examination as well as the practical Assessments were conducted following the guidelines of the Examination cell of the college.
- 3. Innovation: Rock specimens were used for the conduct of practical's.

GEL-II.C-4: Principles of Stratigraphy and Palaeontology

- <u>1.</u> Teaching learning: The resources for the said course was uploaded on Google Classroom. The content involved PowerPoint presentations, e-books and reference material. The variance in the lectures was not more than 5%. The teaching methods involved using of blackboard, Power Points, using fossil specimens.
- 2. Evaluation: The dates of every assessment was announced atleast 15days before the conduct of the examination. The instructions/guidelines were uploaded well in advance before the date of examination. All the examination was conducted as per the guidelines followed by the Examination cell. The continuous Assessments, Semester End Examination as well as the practical Assessments were conducted following the guidelines of the Examination cell of the college.

<u>3.</u> Innovation: Use of fossil specimens was done for the conduct of practical's.One day geological field trip to Palolem, Canacona taluka, Goa was undertaken to correlate classroom teaching with field exposure.

Semester IV

GEL-IV.C-6: Structural Geology

- <u>1.</u> Teaching learning: The resources for the said course was uploaded on Google Classroom. The content involved PowerPoint presentations, e-books and reference material. The variance in the lectures was not more than 5%. The teaching methods involved using of blackboard, Power Points, using Geological Maps.
- 2. Evaluation: The dates of every assessment was announced atleast 15days before the conduct of the examination. The instructions/guidelines were uploaded well in advance before the date of examination. All the examination was conducted as per the guidelines followed by the Examination cell. The continuous Assessments, Semester End Examination as well as the practical Assessments were conducted following the guidelines of the Examination cell of the college.
- <u>3.</u> Innovation: Use of geological structural maps, outcrop filling and solving graphical problems was carried out for the conduct of practical's.

Inorder to expose students to studies related to Structural Geology and its applications in field and engineering geology**Guest Lectures cum Demonstration was organised. The r**esource person was Dr Mrinal K. Mukerjee, Associate Professor, Department of Applied Geology, Indian School of Mines, Dhanbad.

GEL-IV.E-5A: Engineering Geology

- <u>1.</u> Teaching learning: The resources for the said course was uploaded on Google Classroom. The content involved PowerPoint presentations, e-books and reference material. The variance in the lectures was not more than 5%. The teaching methods involved using of blackboard, Power Points.
- <u>2.</u> Evaluation: The dates of every assessment was announced atleast 15days before the conduct of the examination. The instructions/guidelines were uploaded well in advance before the date of examination. All the examination was conducted as per the guidelines followed by the Examination cell. The continuous Assessments, Semester End Examination as well as the practical Assessments were conducted following the guidelines of the Examination cell of the college.
- <u>3.</u> Innovation: Problem solving method was employed for the conduct of practical's. A visit to Selaulim Dam was carried out for the students.

GEL-IV.E-6A: Optical Mineralogy

- <u>1.</u> Teaching learning: The resources for the said course was uploaded on Google Classroom. The content involved PowerPoint presentations, e-books and reference material. The variance in the lectures was not more than 5%. The teaching methods involved using of blackboard, Power Points, using mineral thin sections.
- 2. Evaluation: The dates of every assessment was announced atleast 15days before the conduct of the examination. The instructions/guidelines were uploaded well in advance before the date of examination. All the examination was conducted as per the guidelines followed by the Examination cell. The continuous Assessments, Semester End Examination as well as the practical Assessments were conducted following the guidelines of the Examination cell of the college.
- <u>3.</u> Innovation: Use of Petrological Microscope along with software to project mineral on the screen was used for the conduct of practical's.

GEL-IV.E-7: Natural Hazards and Management

- <u>1.</u> Teaching learning: The resources for the said course was uploaded on Google Classroom. The content involved PowerPoint presentations, e-books and reference material. The variance in the lectures was not more than 5%. The teaching methods involved using of blackboard, Power Points, using Google Earth and GIS.
- 2. Evaluation: The dates of every assessment was announced atleast 15days before the conduct of the examination. The instructions/guidelines were uploaded well in advance before the date of examination. All the examination was conducted as per the guidelines followed by the Examination cell. The continuous Assessments, Semester End Examination as well as the practical Assessments were conducted following the guidelines of the Examination cell of the college.
- <u>3.</u> Innovation: Use of Google earth and GIS wasdone for the conduct of practical's.

GEL-IV.E-8: Geotectonics

- <u>1.</u> Teaching learning: The resources for the said course was uploaded on Google Classroom. The content involved PowerPoint presentations, e-books and reference material. The variance in the lectures was not more than 5%. The teaching methods involved using of blackboard, Power Points.
- <u>2.</u> Evaluation: The dates of every assessment was announced atleast 15days before the conduct of the examination. The instructions/guidelines were uploaded well in advance before the date of examination. All the examination was conducted as per the guidelines followed by the Examination cell. The continuous Assessments, Semester End Examination as well as the practical Assessments were conducted following the guidelines of the Examination cell of the college.
- 3. Innovation: Problem solving method was employed for a better understanding of the concepts.

Semester VI

GEL-VI.C-8 Sedimentary Petrology

- <u>1.</u> Teaching learning: The resources for the said course was uploaded on Google Classroom. The content involved PowerPoint presentations, e-books and reference material. The variance in the lectures was not more than 5%. The teaching methods involved using of blackboard, Power Points and use of sedimentary rocks hand specimens and microsections.
- <u>2.</u> Evaluation: The dates of every assessment was announced at least 15days before the conduct of the examination. The instructions/guidelines were uploaded well in advance before the date of examination. All the examination was conducted as per the guidelines followed by the Examination cell. The continuous Assessments, Semester End Examination as well as the practical Assessments were conducted following the guidelines of the Examination cell of the college.
- <u>3.</u> Innovation: Sedimentary rocks hand specimens and microsections was used for understanding of concepts.

GEL-VI.E-13 Metamorphic Petrology

- 1. Teaching learning: The resources for the said course was uploaded on Google Classroom. The content involved PowerPoint presentations, e-books and reference material. The variance in the lectures was not more than 5%. The teaching methods involved using of blackboard, Power Points and Metamorphic rocks hand specimens and microsections.
- 2. Evaluation: The dates of every assessment was announced atleast 15days before the conduct of the examination. The instructions/guidelines were uploaded well in advance before the date of examination. All the examination was conducted as per the guidelines followed by the Examination cell. The continuous Assessments, Semester End Examination as well as the practical Assessments were conducted following the guidelines of the Examination cell of the college.
- 3. Innovation: Metamorphic rocks hand specimens and microsections was used for understanding of concepts.

GEL-VI.E-14: Rock Deformation Microstructures

- <u>1.</u> Teaching learning: The resources for the said course was uploaded on Google Classroom. The content involved PowerPoint presentations, e-books and reference material. The variance in the lectures was not more than 5%. The teaching methods involved using of blackboard, Power Points.
- 2. Evaluation: The dates of every assessment was announced atleast 15days before the conduct of the examination. The instructions/guidelines were uploaded well in advance before the date of examination. All the examination was conducted as per the guidelines followed by the Examination cell. The continuous Assessments, Semester End Examination as well as the practical Assessments were conducted following the guidelines of the Examination cell of the college.
- <u>3.</u> Innovation: Deformation microstructures were identified using a Petrological Microscope.

GELVI.E-15: Surveying and Field Geology

- <u>1.</u> Teaching learning: The resources for the said course was uploaded on Google Classroom. The content involved PowerPoint presentations, e-books and reference material. The variance in the lectures was not more than 5%. The teaching methods involved using of blackboard, Power Points. Different survey methods was taught for the students.
- 2. Evaluation: The dates of every assessment was announced atleast 15days before the conduct of the examination. The instructions/guidelines were uploaded well in advance before the date of examination. All the examination was conducted as per the guidelines followed by the Examination cell. The continuous Assessments, Semester End Examination as well as the practical Assessments were conducted following the guidelines of the Examination cell of the college.
- <u>3.</u> Innovation: Carrying out the different survey methods and preparing a portfolio based on the same.

GEL-VI.E-16 Gemstone Testing and Evaluation

- <u>1.</u> Teaching learning: The resources for the said course was uploaded on Google Classroom. The content involved PowerPoint presentations, e-books and reference material. The variance in the lectures was not more than 5%. The teaching methods involved using of blackboard, Power Points and gemstones.
- <u>2.</u> Evaluation: The dates of every assessment was announced atleast 15days before the conduct of the examination. The instructions/guidelines were uploaded well in advance before the date of examination. All the examination was conducted as per the guidelines followed by the Examination cell. The continuous Assessments, Semester End Examination as well as the practical Assessments were conducted following the guidelines of the Examination cell of the college.
- <u>3.</u> Innovation: Identification of gemstones using the different gemmological instrument was carried out.

Outreach programmes

Students of Geology and Physics as a part of Internship and Non-Evaluative credits prepared plans for villages in Goa with respect to 'Coastal Regulation Zone (CRZ) and Coastal Zone Management & Planning (CRMP) in Goa'

Third Year Students Projects:

- 1. Study of hydrogeochemistry of river water and rock samples along River Kushavati, South Goa.
- 2. Quality assessment of groundwater in Quitol and Canaguinim area in Quepem and Canancona Taluka

Parvatibai Chowgule College of Arts and Science (Autonomous) ACADEMIC AUDIT REPORT BY HEAD OF DEPARTMENT EVEN SEMESTER(2019-20)

NAME OF THE PROGRAMME: M.Sc (IT) SUBJECT: COMPUTER SCIENCE

| SR NO. | COURSE TITLE, COURSE CODE, SEMESTER | NAME OF THE FACULTY MEMBER | REPORT ON TEACHING -LEARNING | REPORT ON EVALUATION OF COURSE | REPORT ON INNOVATION |
|-----------|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| 1. | Software Architecture, Design Patterns and Frameworks MIT21 Semester:II | Mr. Mahesh P. Matha | 52 lectures,Traditional lecture method, Interactive lecture method,Problem Solving,Student presentation,Experiential Learning, Laboratory work,Research Paper | Test1:Written test, Test2:Group Assignment Test3:Presentation | Teaching-Learning Processes:Problem Solving method of Teaching,Evaluation Processes:Written Test, Research Paper, Presentation |
| 2. | Design and Analysis of Algorithms MIT 22 Semester: II | Mr.Gajanan Nial | 48 lectures, Traditional lecture method, Interactive lecture method, Problem Solving,Student presentation,Experiential Learning ,Case Studies , Laboratory work | Test1:Written,Test2: Puzzle solving/ Presentation,Test3: NPTEL Assignments,Test 4:Viva | Teaching-Learning Processes:NPTEL courses help students strengthen their foundation in different topics, Evaluation Processes:Occasionally viva conducted through google meet to help students cope with the lockdown,Research Programme:Designing algorithms for Puzzle solving helps students try out different possibilities, analyze each and go for the best performing algorithm. |
| 3. | Advanced Database Management Systems | Mr. Abhishek Gudekar | 54 lectures,Traditional lecture method, Interactive lecture method,Group discussion, | Test 1:Written,Test 2:Presentation,Test 3:MCQ,Test 4:Research Paper | Teaching-Learning Processes: Interactive Lectures with Problem Solving,Evaluation Processes:Modes- Written,Presentation,Research,Project,Research |

| | MIT23 Semester: II | | Problem solving, Student Presentation,Experiential Learning ,Case studies,Laboratory work,Research Paper | | Programme:Research Paper case study. |
|---|--|------------------------|---|---|---|
| 4 | Machine Learning MIT24 Semester: II | Mr. Mahesh P. Matha | 55 lectures,Traditional lecture method, Interactive lecture method, Problem solving, Experiential Learning ,Laboratory work. | Test1:Group Assignment, Test2:Written Test,Test3:Viva | Teaching-Learning Processes:Problem Solving method of Teaching, Evaluation Processes:Written Test, Group Assignement, Viva |

Parvatibai Chowgule College of Arts and Science (Autonomous) ACADEMIC AUDIT REPORT BY HEAD OF DEPARTMENT EVEN SEMESTER (2019-20)

NAME OF THE PROGRAMME: B.SC SUBJECT: PGDCA REPORT OF COURSES AUDITED:

| SR NO. | COURSE TITLE, COURSE CODE, | NAME OF THE FACULTY MEMBER | REPORT ON TEACHING - | REPORT ON EVALUATION OF COURSE | REPORT ON INNOVATION |
|-----------|---|-------------------------------|---|--|---|
| 1 | Digital Marketing DCA-EL1 | Mr V.C. Kumaresh | 36 lectures (Uploaded the remaining lectures notes and videos demonstration links online),Traditional lecture method, Interactive lecture method, Student presentation, Laboratory work, Digital Marketing tool demonstration | Test1:Written test, Test2:Assignments, Test3:Presentation, Test4:Semester End(Openbook online) | Teaching-Learning Processes:Demonstration of Digital Marketing tools,Evaluation Processes:Real time implementation & Analysis of Digital Marketing |
| 2 | Python Programming DCA-EL3 Semester II | Mr. D Prabakaran | 31(Before lockdown), Traditional Lecture Method ,Interactive Lecture Method, Problem Solving, Experiential Learning (List of problems were solved as part of Lab Component), Laboratory work | Test1:Written test, Test2:Assignment, Test3:Online Presentation, Test4: Online exam | Teaching-Learning Processes:Google classroom,Presentation,Evaluation Processes:Problem Solving, Written Test, Assignment, Marking Schemes, Rubrics for evaluation, Revision |
| 3 | Software Testing DCAEL8 Semester :II | Ms. Judith Barreto | | | |

| 4 | Computer Network | Mrs. Suchitra Bhat | 35 (Till 14 th March | Test1:Presentation,Test2:MCQ, | Teaching-Learning Processes:Due to lockdo |
|---|--|--------------------|---|--|--|
| | DCA21 Semester :II | | 2020) before the lockdown,Traditional Lecture Method,Interactive Lecture method, Group discussion,Problem Solving,Student present ation,Experiential Learning, Laboratory work | Test3:Assignment, Test4:Written exam online | all practicals could not be done. For one TLE setting up a wireless access point, a tutorial was uploaded and students were asked to follor all the steps as shown. For Practical evaluation 4 on Wireshark, I gave the screenshots of the captured packets and asked students to analyze the packets, mainly TCP to understand different fields of TCP header. Evaluation Processes:Online submis method was followed . However few submissions were done late as students had network problem |
| 5 | Software Engineering DCA22 Semester :II | Ms. Judith Barreto | | | |

Parvatibai Chowgule College of Arts and Science (Autonomous) ACADEMIC AUDIT REPORT BY HEAD OF DEPARTMENT (2019-2020) [EVEN SEMESTER]

NAME OF THE PROGRAMME: B.Sc. SUBJECT: PHYSICS

| SR | COURSE TITLE, COURSE | NAME OF THE | REPORT ON | REPORT ON | REPORT ON |
|-----|----------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| NO. | CODE, SEMESTER | FACULTY MEMBER | TEACHING -LEARNING | EVALUATION OF COURSE | INNOVATION |
| 1 | SEMESTER: II | Mr. Yatin P. Desai | • Whether the course resources | • Whether Assessment dates | Innovations done at |
| | COURSE TITLE: | | were uploaded on | & modes declared in | Teaching - Learning- |
| | Heat and | | CLAAP/Google classroom: Yes | advance: Yes | Evaluation by faculty: |
| | Thermodynamics | | • Sufficient resources were | •Whether marking scheme | |
| | COURSE CODE: | | provided: Yes | discussed / explained / | Outreach |
| | PHY-II.C-3 | | • Different types of resources | uploaded: Yes | programmes / |
| | | | provided: Lecture notes, PPTs, | •Weightage of marks | research done / any |
| | | | Links to open course wares. | justified: Yes | other innovation: |
| | | | • Variance of lectures engaged: -4 | • Whether number of | |
| | | | • Number and types of modes of | assessments conducted for | |
| | | | teaching used: Traditional | theory & or practical meets | |
| | | | Method, Text books, Audio | the requirement as per | |
| | | | Visual Resources | examination cell: Yes | |
| | | | • Suggestions offered for revision | • Whether more than 04 | |
| | | | of course if any: | assessment modes used: 02 | |
| | | | | CA modes used + SEE. | |
| | | | | • % of Higher order questions | |
| | | | | in each assessment mode: | |
| | | | | 33 % and 53% in MCQ I | |
| | | | | and II respectively, 50% | |

| | | | | in Assignments, 44% in SEE Whether documents furnished were satisfactory: Yes | |
|---|--|----------------|--|---|--|
| 2 | SEMESTER: II | Dr. Ananya Das | •Whether the course resources | Whether Assessment dates | Innovations done at |
| | COURSE TITLE: Electricity and Magnetism COURSE CODE: PHY-II.C-4 | | Whether the course resources were uploaded on CLAAP/Google classroom: Yes Sufficient resources were provided: Yes Different types of resources provided: Lecture notes, Links to OCW, Text books Variance of lectures engaged: -2 Number and types of modes of teaching used: Traditional Lecture Method, Interactive Lecture Method Problem solving, Problem Solving, Competency test Suggestions offered for revision of course if any: | Whether Assessment dates & modes declared in advance: Yes Whether marking scheme discussed / explained / uploaded: Yes Weightage of marks justified: Yes Whether number of assessments conducted for theory & or practical meets the requirement as per examination cell: Yes Whether more than 04 assessment modes used: 2 CA modes used + SEE % of Higher order questions in each assessment mode: 40% in each CA 1 (MCQ) mode, 30% in each Written test mode, 40% in SEE. Whether documents furnished were satisfactory: | Innovations done at Teaching - Learning- Evaluation by faculty: Outreach programmes / research done / any other innovation: |
| | | | | Yes | |

| 3 | SEMESTER: IV | Dr. Ashish Desai | • Whether the course resources | • Whether Assessment dates | Innovations done at |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| | COURSE TITLE: | | were uploaded on | & modes declared in | Teaching - Learning- |
| | Quantum Mechanics | | CLAAP/Google classroom: Yes | advance: Yes | Evaluation by faculty: |
| | COUDSE CODE. | | • Sufficient resources were | • Whether marking scheme | Using peer |
| | PHY-IV.C-6 | | provided: Yes | discussed / explained / | instruction teaching |
| | | | • Different types of resources | uploaded: Yes | methodology |
| | | | provided: Text books. | • Weightage of marks | Evaluation processes.: |
| | | | • Variance of lectures engaged: - | iustified: Yes | r r r |
| | | | 10 | • Whether number of | |
| | | | • Number and types of modes of | assessments conducted for | |
| | | | teaching used Traditional | theory & or practical meets | |
| | | | Lecture Method Interactive | the requirement as per | |
| | | | Lecture method Group | examination cell: Ves | |
| | | | discussion Problem solving | Whether more than 04 | |
| | | | • Suggestions offered for revision | assessment modes used: 3 | |
| | | | of course if any | CA modes + SFF | |
| | | | or course if any | • % of Higher order questions | |
| | | | | in each assessment mode: | |
| | | | | CA I MCO-I: 70% CAU | |
| | | | | Assignments: 100% CAI | |
| | | | | Writton avom: 50: SEE | |
| | | | | written exam: 50 ; SEE: | |
| | | | | 90% | |
| | | | | • Whether documents | |
| | | | | No. | |
| | | | | res | |
| 4 | SEMESTER: IV | Mr. Yashwant Desai | •Whether the course resources | • Whether Assessment dates | Innovations done at |
| | COURSE TITLE: | | were uploaded on | & modes declared in | Teaching - Learning- |
| | Electronics-I | | CLAAP/Google classroom: Ves | advance: Yes | Evaluation by faculty: |
| | COUNCE CODE | | • Sufficient resources were | | |
| | PHY-E5 | | provided: Ves | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | | • Different types of resources | • Whether marking scheme | • Outreach |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| | | | provided: Lecture notes, PPTs, | discussed / explained / | programmes / |
| | | | Text books. | uploaded: Yes | research done / any |
| | | | • Variance of lectures engaged: -8 | • Weightage of marks | other innovation: |
| | | | • Number and types of modes of | justified: Yes | |
| | | | teaching used: Traditional | • Whether number of | |
| | | | Method, Problem solving. | assessments conducted for | |
| | | | • Suggestions offered for revision | theory & or practical meets | |
| | | | of course if any: | the requirement as per | |
| | | | | examination cell: Yes | |
| | | | | • Whether more than 04 | |
| | | | | assessment modes used: 2 | |
| | | | | CA modes used +SEE | |
| | | | | • % of Higher order questions | |
| | | | | in each assessment mode: | |
| | | | | 40% in MCQs, 30% in | |
| | | | | written test, 40% SEE | |
| | | | | • Whether documents | |
| | | | | furnished were satisfactory: | |
| | | | | Yes | |
| | | | | | |
| 5 | SEMESTER: IV | Ms. Pearl Oliveira | • Whether the course resources | • Whether Assessment dates | Innovations done at |
| | COURSE TITLE: | | were uploaded on | & modes declared in | Teaching - Learning- |
| | Introduction to Error | | CLAAP/Google classroom: Yes | advance: Yes | Evaluation by faculty: |
| | T thury 515 | | • Sufficient resources were | • Whether marking scheme | |
| | COURSE CODE: | | provided: Yes | discussed / explained / | • Outreach |
| | ГПТ-ЕІб | | • Different types of resources | uploaded: Yes | programmes / |
| | | | provided: Lecture notes, Links | •Weightage of marks | research done / any |
| | | | to OCW, Text books. | justified: Yes | other innovation: |
| | | | • Variance of lectures engaged: - | •Whether number of | |
| | | | 10 | assessments conducted for | |

| | | | Normhan and traces of modes of | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| | | | • Number and types of modes of | theory & or practical meets | |
| | | | teaching used: Traditional | the requirement as per | |
| | | | Lecture Method, Interactive | examination cell: Yes | |
| | | | Lecture Method, Problem | • Whether more than 04 | |
| | | | Solving, Laboratory work | assessment modes used: 4 | |
| | | | • Suggestions offered for revision | modes used (Assessment | |
| | | | of course if any: | through all CA Modes) | |
| | | | | • % of Higher order questions | |
| | | | | in each assessment mode: | |
| | | | | 45% in Open Book Test, | |
| | | | | 30% in Assignment, 30% | |
| | | | | in Problem Based Solving, | |
| | | | | 30% in E-poster | |
| | | | | presentation. | |
| | | | | • Whether documents | |
| | | | | furnished were satisfactory: | |
| | | | | Yes | |
| 6 | SEMESTER: IV | Ms. Pearl Oliveira | • Whether the course resources | • Whether Assessment dates | Innovations done at |
| | COURSE TITLE. | Mc Suvarna Datil | were unloaded on | & modes declared in | Teaching - Learning- |
| | Properties of Matter and | | CLAAP/Google classroom: Ves | advance: Ves | Fyaluation by faculty |
| | Acoustics | | • Sufficient resources were | Whether marking scheme | |
| | COURSE CODE: | | provided: Ves | discussed / evplained / | • Outreach |
| | PHY-E4 | | • Different types of resources | unloaded: Ves | nrogrammes / |
| | | | provided: Lecture notes Link | Weightage of marks | rosoarch dono / any |
| | | | to OCW Toxt Pooks | iustified. Vec | other inneviation. |
| | | | Verience of leatures engaged | Justilieu: ies | |
| | | | • variance of lectures engaged: - | • whether number of | |
| | | | | assessments conducted for | |
| | | | • Number and types of modes of | theory & or practical meets | |
| | | | teaching used: Traditional | the requirement as per | |
| | | | Lecture Method, Interactive | examination cell: Yes | |

| | | | Lecture Method, Problem Solving, Laboratory work • Suggestions offered for revision of course if any: • % of Higher order questions in each assessment mode: 40% in written test, 33% in MCQs, 33% in Assignments, 40% in SEE. • Whether documents furnished were satisfactory: Yes | |
|---|--|------------------|---|--|
| 7 | SEMESTER: VI COURSE TITLE: Atomic and Molecular Physics COURSE CODE: PHY-VI.C-8 | Dr. Ashish Desai | Whether the course resources were uploaded on CLAAP/Google classroom: Yes Sufficient resources were provided: Yes Different types of resources provided: Lecture notes, Text books. Variance of lectures engaged: -8 Number and types of modes of teaching used: Traditional Method, Group discussion, Laboratory work. Suggestions offered for revision of course if any: Whether Assessment dates & modes declared in advance: Yes Whether marking scheme discussed / explained / uploaded: Yes Weightage of marks justified: Yes Whether number of assessments conducted for theory & or practical meets the requirement as per examination cell: Yes Suggestions offered for revision of course if any: Method, Group discussion, Laboratory work. Suggestions offered for revision of course if any: Method, Group discussion, Laboratory work. Suggestions offered for revision of course if any: Method, Group discussion, Laboratory work. Suggestions offered for revision of course if any: | Innovations done at Teaching - Learning- Evaluation by faculty: Outreach programmes / research done / any other innovation: |

| | Written test 0% in |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| | Assignments and 30%, |
| | SEE. |
| | • Whether documents |
| | furnished were satisfactory: |
| | Yes |
| 8 SEMESTER: VI Mr. Yatin P. Desai | •Whether the course resources •Whether Assessment dates •Innovations done at |
| COURSE TITLE: | were uploaded on & modes declared in Teaching - Learning- |
| Mechanics-II | CLAAP/Google classroom: Yes advance: Yes Evaluation by faculty: |
| COURSE CODE. | • Sufficient resources were • Whether marking scheme |
| PHY-E13 | provided: Yes discussed / explained / • Outreach |
| | • Different types of resources uploaded: Yes programmes / |
| | provided: Lecture notes, Text • Weightage of marks research done / any |
| | books, justified: Yes other innovation: |
| | • Variance of lectures engaged: -8 • Whether number of |
| | • Number and types of modes of assessments conducted for |
| | teaching used: Traditional theory & or practical meets |
| | Lecture Method, Interactive the requirement as per |
| | Lecture Method, Problem examination cell: Yes |
| | Solving, Laboratory work • Whether more than 04 |
| | Method. assessment modes used: 2 |
| | • Suggestions offered for revision CA modes used + SEE |
| | of course if any: •% of Higher order questions |
| | in each assessment mode: |
| | 33% in MCQ1, 53% in |
| | MCQ2 and 44% in SEE. |
| | • Whether documents |
| | furnished were satisfactory: |
| | Yes |

| 9 | SEMESTER: VI | Dr. Ananya Das | •Whether the course resources •Whether Assessment dates •Innovations done at |
|----|-------------------------|-------------------|---|
| | COURSE TITLE: | Dr. Ashish Desai | were uploaded on & modes declared in Teaching - Learning- |
| | Nuclear and Elementary | | CLAAP/Google classroom: Yes advance: Yes Evaluation by faculty: |
| | Particle Physics | | • Sufficient resources were • Whether marking scheme |
| | COURSE CODE: | | provided: Yes discussed / explained / • Outreach |
| | PHY-E14 | | • Different types of resources uploaded: Yes programmes / |
| | | | provided: Lecture notes, Links • Weightage of marks research done / any |
| | | | to OCW, Text Books, Journal justified: Yes other innovation: |
| | | | •Whether number of |
| | | | • Variance of lectures engaged: 0 assessments conducted for |
| | | | • Number and types of modes of theory & or practical meets |
| | | | teaching used: Traditional the requirement as per |
| | | | Lecture Method, Interactive examination cell: Yes |
| | | | Lecture method, Problem • Whether more than 04 |
| | | | Solving, Laboratory work. assessment modes used: 3 |
| | | | • Suggestions offered for revision CA modes used + SEE |
| | | | of course if any: • % of Higher order questions |
| | | | in each assessment mode: |
| | | | 40% in MCQ Test, 30% in |
| | | | Written Test, 30% in |
| | | | Assignments, 40% in SEE. |
| | | | • Whether documents |
| | | | furnished were satisfactory: |
| | | | Yes |
| | | | |
| 10 | SEMESTER: VI | Ms. Suvarna Patil | •Whether the course resources •Whether Assessment dates •Innovations done at |
| | COURSE TITLE: | | were uploaded on & modes declared in Teaching - Learning- |
| | Introduction to Special | | CLAAP/Google classroom: Yes advance: Yes Evaluation by faculty: |
| | | | •Sufficient resources were •Whether marking scheme |
| | COURSE CODE: | | provided: Yes discussed / explained / • Outreach |
| | РНҮ-ЕІ5 | | uploaded: Yes programmes / |

| | | | Different types of resources provided: Lecture notes, Text Books. Variance of lectures engaged: - 14 Number and types of modes of teaching used: Traditional Lecture Method, Interactive Solving, Laboratory work Suggestions offered for revision of course if any: Suggestions offered for revision of course if any: Weightage of ma justified: Yes Whether number assessments conducted theory & or practical me the requirement as prevaination cell: Yes Whether more than assessment modes used CA modes used + SEE % of Higher order question in each assessment modes used 53.3% in CA written tee 66.6 % in CA MCQ tee 30% in in CA Assignment 59.5% in SEE Whether docume furnished were satisfactor Yes | research done / any other innovation: of for ets eer 04 3 ns de: st, st, ts, ry: |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 11 | SEMESTER: VI COURSE TITLE: Elementary Physics II COURSE CODE: PHY-I2 | Ms. Pearl Oliveira Mr. Yashwant Desai | Whether the course resources were uploaded on CLAAP/Google classroom: Yes Sufficient resources were provided: Yes Different types of resources provided: Lecture notes, , Links to open course wares, Text Books Variance of lectures engaged: -12 Whether Assessment da & modes declared advance: Yes Whether marking sche discussed / explained uploaded: Yes Weightage of mar justified: Yes Whether number assessments conducted theory & or practical me | tes • Innovations done at in Teaching - Learning- Evaluation by faculty: ne / • Outreach programmes / research done / any other innovation: of for ets |

| | • Number and types of modes of the requirement as per |
|--|--|
| | teaching used: Traditional examination cell: Yes |
| | Lecture Method Interactive Milether many them 04 |
| | Lecture Method, Interactive • Whether more than 04 |
| | Lecture method, Problem assessment modes used: 3 |
| | Solving, Student Presentation, CA modes used + SEE |
| | Laboratory work.• % of Higher order questions |
| | • Suggestions offered for revision in each assessment mode: |
| | of course if any: 23% in CA MCQ test, 20% |
| | in PPT Presentation, 30% |
| | in Assignment, and 40% |
| | in SEE |
| | • Whether documents |
| | furnished were satisfactory: |
| | Yes |
| | |

Besu . .

YATIN P. DESAI Name and Signature of HOD